Studying photons in Atlas

Omar Medina Bautista^{1,2}

Supervisors: Luis Pascual Dominguez³, José Ocariz² Romain Van Den Broucke²

¹UNMSM, ²LPNHE Paris, ³Tel Aviv University,











Latin American alliance for Capacity buildiNG in Advanced physics LA-CONGA physics







 Single photons are produced through several processes in hard proton-proton collisions. To the study of photon isolation, two type of processes are of interest, both occurring at the QCD level.



Prompt Photon High energy dominant



(c)



ATLAS Calorimeter

After going through the inner detector, incoming particles will reach the calorimeter which is composed of an absorber material and an active media. The first one stops particles and induced the creation of a shower of secondary-low energy particles which ionize the second media or make it radiate. Both phenomena can be translated into a signal from which the energy of the main particle can be deduced.



Figure 3: G Aad and Bentvelsen. "The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider". In: JINST 3 (2008). Also published by CERN Geneva in 2010, S08003. 437 p. doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003. url: https://cds.cern.ch/record/1129811 (cit. on pp. 39, 40, 42, 47–53).

LA-CoNGA physics



- A photon candidate is defined by cuts on the shower shape variables.
- Photons candidates are classified as Loose or Tight.
- There is an intermediate classification, Loose'4 for photons failing Tight due to cuts on 4 strip shower shape variable assumed to be uncorrelated with isolation.





 Prompt photons such as the prompts are characterized for their almost null hadronic activity in their surroundings. On the contrary, fake photons(background) usually have an important flow of energy around them.



This hadrons also deposit their energy on the calorimeters(LAr and hadronic).
 This extra energy is called isolation energy, and the method of photon isolation consists of using this quantity to distinguish photons from fake ones.





- An incident photon candidate activates several clusters of cells called **topoclusters**.
- Each topocluster has a "center of energy" called barycenter (purple dot), which defines the center of cone of radius 0.2 or 0.4.
- The **total energy** is the sum of all topoclusters whose barycenter are inside the cone.
- The most energetic cell of the photon candidate topocluster defines the center of a **mask** of 7x5 cells which contains the core energy of the photon candidate.
- A small fraction of the photon energy leaks outside the mask and needs to be subtracted from the isolation energy.



- **Pileup** is the number of proton-proton collisions besides the collision of interest (hard collisions) which can take place within the same bunch crossing or before and after the collisions due to the recovery time of the detectors (25 ns).
- **Pileup Profile** is the average number of interactions per bunch crossing (<µ>).





OBJ

• To find the extra energy (isolation energy), the total energy must be subtracted by the core energy, its leakage, and pileup energy distribution.

$$E_T^{iso}(R) = \left(\sum_{r_i < R}^{clusters} E_T^i\right) - E_T^{core} - E_T^{leakage}(E_T^{\gamma}, |\eta^{\gamma}|) - E_T^{pileup}(|\eta^{\gamma}|, \langle \mu \rangle)$$
A RooPlot of "y_topoetcone40"
A Verage corrections





An ACB function is used to fit the isolation energy distribution: Signal MC

PDFs

 $f(x;\mu_P,\sigma_L,\sigma_R,lpha,n)=N\cdot egin{cases} \exp\left(-rac{(x-\mu_P)^2}{2\sigma_L^2}
ight) & for \ x<\mu_P \ \exp\left(-rac{(x-\mu_P)^2}{2\sigma_R^2}
ight) & for \ x\geq\mu_P \ and \ rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}<lpha \ rac{1}{\left(B+rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}
ight)^n} & for \ rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\left(B+rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}
ight)^n} & for \ rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\left(B+rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}
ight)^n} & for \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\left(B+rac{x-\mu_P}{\sigma_R}
ight)^n} & for \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R} \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R} \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>lpha \ rac{1}{\sigma_R}>\ rac{1}{\sigma_R}$ A RooPlot of "y topoetcone40" MC prompt Tight 3000 pT = [25,26] GeV 2500 <mu> = [30,31] 2000 σR and σL -> fluctuations on leakage and pileup corrections (GeV) 1500 1000 μ -> the peak position (GeV) 500 α -> "Gaussian shape" (No units) 10 y topoetcone40 (GeV)

Parameters depend on pT and <mu>!!

Montecarlo Weighted PDFs: (Ft = Tight Prompt Fraction, FL = Lose Brem Fraction) $PDF_{MC,tight} = (F_T)ACB_{MC,prompt,tight} + (1 - F_T)ACB_{MC,brem,tight}$ $PDF_{MC,loose} = (F_L)ACB_{MC,prompt,loose} + (1 - F_L)ACB_{MC,brem,loose}$



Fitting process

- Fit the MC distributions in 4 regions (Prompt-Tight, Prompt-Loose, Brem-Tight, Brem-Loose) in bins of p_{τ} and pileup with ACB PDF
- Fit the fitted PDF parameters with analytical 2D functions of p_{τ} and pileup
 - o parameter(pT,mu) = Function(pT,mu)
- Fit MC + data simultaneously, in bins of p_{τ} and pileup
 - Cross Section weighted of MC Prompt and Brem
 - MC Tight Signal: Constrained to the analytical functions, unless normalization and tight fraction
 - Data: Data Tight Signal constrained to the MC Tight Signal, except peak position for MC (= data-driven shift)
- Fit the data-driven shift to get an analytical function of p_{τ} and pileup



Extended PDFs: (g = Lose Data Fraction, p = purity, f = Tight Fraction)

Assumption: PDF background is ACB

 $PDF_{MC,prompt,tight} = fN_{MC,prompt}ACB_{MC,prompt,tight}$

 $PDF_{MC,prompt,loose} = (1 - f)N_{MC,prompt}ACB_{MC,prompt,loose}$

 $PDF_{data,loose} = (1 - \frac{(1 - f)(1 - g)p}{f})N_{data}PDF_{bkg} + \frac{(1 - f)(1 - g)}{f}N_{data}PDF_{loose,signal}$ $PDF_{data,tight} = (1 - g)(1 - p)N_{data}PDF_{bkg} + (1 - g)pN_{data}PDF_{signal}$

Definition Data Driven Shift

$$PDF_{MC,tight} = PDF_{MC,tight}(\mu) \qquad PDF_{signal} = PDF_{MC,tight}(\mu+d)$$

d = Data Driven Shift



Unbinned combined fit

- Fit in a bin of isolation energy (a year, a region of the calorimeter, a photon energy, a pileup range, etc.)
- 6 regions: MC Prompt / MC Brem / Data, Tight / Loose'4
- MC constrained to the previous fit, except for normalization and fraction of Tight/Loose'4
- Data
 - Signal constrained to MC (except for peak position in Tight region), with Prompt and Brem in good proportions
 - Same background in Loose'4 and Tight
 - Fraction of Tight/Loose'4
 constrained to MC value



Data Signal + Data bkg

Data Signal + Data Bkg



Fitting MC Distributions

A RooPlot of "y_topoetcone40"









Observation: σL increases for both pT and < μ >.

Explanation: σL quantifies fluctuations, and those increases in principle as the square root of the variables.

Chi2		=		1226.92	
NDf		₩.	1186		
param_0	=	0.77806	+/-	0.0330487	
param_1	=	0.115704	+/-	0.0723065	
param_2	=	0.110863	+/-	0.0176515	
param_3	je.	0.000828416	+/-	1.43453e-05	

$$\sigma_L = p_2 < \mu >^{p_0} + p_1 + p_3 10^{p_T}$$

p-Value 0.2

σL-PromptTight Fitting Function Confidence Interval









σL-PromptTight Pulls



10

Pulls





Chi2	=		1482.84	
NDf	=			1186
param_0	=	0.432838	+/-	0.00141554
param_1	=	-1.30397	+/-	0.0228829
param_2	=	1.5741e-05	+/-	3.64176e-06
param_3	=	0.00109904	+/-	0.00012762

Observation: σL increases for both pT and $<\mu>$.

Explanation: same reason as for σL





Mean compatible with zero RMS not compatible with one





Combined Fit Example

40-45 GeV

















210-231 GeV

















Data Driven Shifts





Data Driven Shifts

DDShiftPulls





- In general: Add a few more parameters to the analytical functions to obtain better p-value.
- Specifically: Use thinner bins in the MC Brem tight region to have a better profile of the points trends for alpha distribution.
- Enlarge bins in <mu> for low-statistics bins to reduce large errors in DDshifts.
- Use the PDG procedure to rescale the confidence intervals on the DDshifts.











contacto@laconga.redclara.net





Latin American alliance for Capacity buildi**NG** in Advanced **physics**

LA-CoNGA **physics**



Cofinanciado por el programa Erasmus+ de la Unión Europea

El apoyo de la Comisión Europea para la producción de esta publicación no constituye una aprobación del contenido, el cual refleja únicamente las opiniones de los autores, y la Comisión no se hace responsable del uso que pueda hacerse de la información contenida en la misma.